UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/598,358	08/24/2006	Eun-Ho Kim	2017-106	3037	
52706 IPLA P.A.	7590 12/22/200	8	EXAMINER		
3580 WILSHIRE BLVD. 17TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90010			ELOSHWAY, NIKI MARINA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3781		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	plicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/598,358	KIM, EUN-HO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	NIKI M. ELOSHWAY	3781					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	-· action is non-final.						
<i>;</i> —							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	pa Quay.e, 1000 0.21, 10						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received						
•		on No					
<u> </u>							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
2)	5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/24/06.	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/598,358 Page 2

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 9, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sandvick (U.S. 4,763,380). Sandvick teaches a hygiene cap 10 detachably provided for a can having a lid formed with an outlet and an opener for opening the outlet (see col. 4 lines 27-54). The hygiene cap comprises a cap body interposed between the radially outer surface (or perimeter) of the lid and the opener and rotates about the lid. The cleaner 16 is attached under the cap body and cleans the outer surface of the lid as the cap body rotates.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thomas (U.S. 2005/0211708) in view of Sandvick(U.S. 4,763,380). Thomas teaches a hygiene cap 1A detachably provided for a can having a lid formed with an outlet 1E and an opener 1B for opening the outlet. The

Art Unit: 3781

hygiene cap comprises a cap body interposed between the lid and the opener and rotates about the lid (see figures 1 and 2).

Page 3

Thomas does not teach the cleaner. Sandvick teaches that it is known to provide a hygiene cap with a cleaner (see element 16). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the hygiene cap of Thomas with the cleaner of Sandvick, in order to clean the top of the lid.

- 6. Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sandvick (U.S. 4,763,380) in view of Perra (U.S. 2004/0060935). Sandvick discloses the claimed invention except for the grip. Perra teaches that it is known to provide a closure with a grip (see element 16). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the cap of Sandvick with the grip of Perra, in order to allow the user to grasp and rotate the lid more firmly.
- 7. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sandvick (U.S. 4,763,380) in view of Song (U.S. 2001/0040163). Sandvick discloses the claimed invention except for the advertisement. Song teaches that it is known to provide a closure with advertising material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the cap of Sandvick with advertising material, as taught by Song, in order to advertise the contents.
- 8. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sandvick (U.S. 4,763,380) in view of Moga (U.S. 2003/0081980). Sandvick discloses the claimed invention except for the antibacterial agent. Moga teaches that it is known to provide a cleaner with an antibacterial agent. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the cap of Sandvick with the cleaners having an antibacterial agent, as taught by Moga, in order to better cleanse the can surface.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The prior art is cited for the cleaning apparatus.

10. THIS ACTION IS NON-FINAL.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to NIKI M. ELOSHWAY whose telephone number is (571)272-4538. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Anthony Stashick can be reached on 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Niki M. Eloshway/ Niki M. Eloshway Examiner Art Unit 3781

nme